

EXHIBIT A

In Support of

**Corrected Motion for Leave to Amend to Add
Target**

Case Number	Case Caption	Plaintiff	State	Market Share 2006-2019 - Doseage Units	Market Share 2006-2019 - MME	Signed by
1:17-op-45107-DAP	Douglas County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al	Douglas County	Wisconsin	7.48%	5.85%	/s/ Jayne Conroy
1:17-op-45147-DAP	St. Croix County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al	St Croix County	Wisconsin	5.95%	4.10%	/s/ Jayne Conroy
1:18-op-45001-DAP	Local 404 Teamsters Health Service and Insurance Plan v. Purdue Pharma	Local 404 Teamsters Health Service and Insurance Plan	Massachusetts	*	*	/s/ Frank Schirripa
1:18-op-45013-DAP	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees District Council 37 Health & Security Plan v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees District Council 37 Health & Security Plan	New York	*	*	/s/ Elizabeth J. Cabraser
1:18-op-45101-DAP	County of Anoka, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Anoka County	Minnesota	6.79%	5.18%	/s/ Jayne Conroy
1:18-op-45653-DAP	County of San Benito v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al	San Benito County	California	6.37%	3.58%	/s/ Peter J. Mougey
1:18-op-45975-DAP	Prairie Island Indian Community v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Prairie Island Indian Community	Minnesota	6.63%	5.81%	/s/ Timothy Q. Purdon
1:18-op-45977-DAP	Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community	Minnesota	8.24%	5.74%	/s/ Timothy Q. Purdon
1:18-op-46334-DAP	Commissioners of St. Mary's County, Maryland v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al	St Marys County	Maryland	7.11%	5.84%	/s/ Peter J. Mougey

* The market share has not been included here because both these Amending Plaintiffs are asserting national, classwide RICO claims against this defendant based its participation in a RICO enterprise through an ongoing pattern of violative acts, evidenced by a number of factors including a greater than 5% market share in a multiplicity of jurisdictions.